



To: Bay Area UASI Approval Authority

From: Mike Sena, NCRIC Director, Advisory Group Chairperson

Date: December 13, 2012

Re: Item #4: Advisory Group Report

Recommendations:

The UASI Advisory Group recommends maintaining the FY 2012 Sustainment and Core City (San Jose, Oakland and San Francisco) Projects at the FY 2013 requested levels of funding, with one exception for the Resource Typing Database Project. Additional FY 2013 funding was requested for the Resource Typing Database Project for a contractor to support collection of resource data. The Advisory Group recommends using the Bay Hub Planner personnel to support the Resource Typing Database Project instead of allocating additional funding beyond the FY 2012 level of sustainment funding. The Advisory Group recommends that there should not be any new sustainment projects added in FY 2013.

The Advisory Group also recommends that regional projects that are larger than individual Hub projects should be submitted to the UASI Management Team for Advisory Group review.

Action or Discussion Items:

On November 29th, 2012, The UASI Advisory Group held a meeting to review the UASI sustainment projects and discuss the allocation of funding for the FY 2013 UASI Grant planning process. The Advisory Group developed recommendations to maintain funding for the BayLoop Project, the Regional Records Management Systems Information Sharing Data Projects (South Bay & West Bay Nodes of COPLINK and East Bay Node of ARIES), the Regional Training and Exercise Project, the Regional Fusion Center Project (NCRIC), the Regions Resource Typing Database Project and the Core City (San Jose, Oakland and San Francisco) Projects.

The Advisory Group also developed a recommendation that there should not be any new sustainment projects added in FY 2013.

The Advisory Group discussed the concept that sustainment projects must meet gaps identified by the Risk Analysis Center (RAC) and that sustainment funding should only be allocated to those core projects that are critical to reducing regional risk and have been maintained over previous grant years. The Advisory Group also discussed the need to annually review those critical core sustainment projects that maintain our Regions capacity to identify, mitigate prevent and respond to threats.

During the discussions there was a recommendation developed regarding the review of regional projects that were larger than individual Hub projects. The Advisory Group recommends that these regional projects should also be submitted to the UASI Management Team for Advisory Group review and submittal to the Approval Authority.

The Advisory Group will continue to develop a proposal for the allocation of funding for Hub and non-sustainment Regional projects at the upcoming advisory group meetings.